OCT 0 6 2004

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8 **Date of Transmission September**

I hereby certify that this document and the documents referred to herein as attached are being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Attn: Examiner Mark T. Lee, Art Unit 3617, to facsimile number (703) 872-9308 on the above indicated date of transmission.

Deposit:

EST AVAILABLE CC

10/5/2004 Name of Person Making the Deposit

Ann-Ellice Parker

Signature of the Person Making the Deposit:

In re Application of: Nies, et al.

Docket No.: ISAA64

Serial No: 09/672,142

Art Unit: 2121

Filed: 9/27/2000

Examiner: Booker, Kelvin E.

Title: WEBSTATION: Configurable Web-based Workstation for reason Driven Data Analysis

DATE: OCTOBER 6, 2004

To:

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ATTN: Examiner Kelvin E. Booker, Art Unit 2121

Attached to this coversheet please find the following document:

-- Response to Office Action (16 pages)

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 0 6 2004

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8 Date of Transmission 10/6/2004 I hereby certify that this document and the documents referred to herein as attached are being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Attn: Examiner Kelvin Booker, Art Unit 2121, to facsimile number (703) 872-9306 on the above indicated date of transmission.

Ann-Ellice Parker

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Nies, et al.

Docket No.: ISAA0064

Serial No.: 09/672,142

Art Unit: 2121

Filed: 9/27/2000

Examiner: Booker, Kelvin E.

Title: WEBSTATION: Configurable Web-based Workstation for Reason Driven

Data Analysis

Date: October 6, 2004

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

This is a Response to the Office Action mailed July 28, 2004, for the aboveidentified patent application.

The Examiner indicated that allowable subject matter is found in Claims 3, 4, 5, and 10-27, those claims are re-written in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and the intervening claims. The Examiner indicated that Claim 34 was allowed.

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication of allowable subject matter and in response thereto provides her with a replacement set of claims in which

Page 1 of 16

the limitations of Claim 3 is been added to Claim 2, Claim 3 containing subject matter indicated as allowable. Accordingly, Claim 2 and all claims dependent therefrom, is now in allowable condition.

Further, Applicant has taken the limitations of Claim 2 and added them to Claims 5 and 10. Because the subject matter of Claims 5 and 10 are indicated as being allowable, Claims 5 and 10 was indicated as being allowable. Claims 5 and 10 are similarly considered to be allowable with the limitations of the base claim included therewith. Thus these claims and all claims that depend therefrom are now deemed allowable.

Finally, Applicant has taken the limitations of Claim 10 and added these limitations to Claims 1 and 37, which claims were rejected by the Examiner. Applicant is of the opinion that the subject matter of Claim 10, being allowable, when added to Claims 1 and 37, overcomes the objections raised by the Examiner as well and that these claims are therefore allowable also.

In making these claim amendments, Applicant does not concede that the Examiner is correct in raising the objections raised to the claims. Applicant makes these amendments without prejudice to the Applicant's right to pursue coverage of the scope of at least that of the claims as originally submitted at a later time, Festo not withstanding.

Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this response or the amended claim, the Examiner is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at (650) 474-8400.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael A. Glenn Reg. No. 30,176

Page 2 of 16

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☑ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.